

Kurslitteratur

All kurslitteratur kommer att finnas tillgänglig som pdf:er i Athena. Kursen är centrerad kring en bok på drygt 240 sidor – *Great debates in criminology* (2018) av Posick & Rocque – med inslag av Beaver, Barnes & Boutwells (2015) antologi *The Nurture versus Biosocial Debate in Criminology*.

Till detta kommer en uppsättning artiklar. Sammantaget landar all läsning på någonstans runt 900 sidor. Både Posick & Rocque (2018) och antologin går att beställa som fysiska böcker för den som vill, men de är också tillgängliga som PDF:er via Stockholms universitetsbiblioteks databaser. Också dessa kommer alltså att publiceras som pdf:er i Athena.

- Agnew, R. 2015. The role of the social environment in general strain theory. I Beaver, K. M., J. C. Barnes & B. B. Boutwell, red. *The nurture versus biosocial debate in criminology*. London: SAGE
- Akozino, B. 2004. Imperialism, crime, and criminology: Towards the decolonisation of criminology. *Crime, Law & Social Change*, 41: 343-358
- Barnes et al. 2019. How powerful is evidence in criminology? On whether we should fear a coming crisis of confidence. *Justice Quarterly*, 37: 383-409
- Becker, H. S. 1967. Whose side are we on? *Social Problems*, 14: 239-247
- Bernard, T. J. 1990. Twenty years of testing theories: What have we learned, and why? *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency*, 27: 325-347
- Betta, M. & R. Swedberg. 2018. Heuristics and theorizing as work on the self. *Sociologica*, 12: 21-25
- Boisvert, D. 2015. A biosocial view of social bond theory. I Beaver, K. M., J. C. Barnes & B. B. Boutwell, red. *The nurture versus biosocial debate in criminology*. London: SAGE
- Brauer, J. R. & J. D. Bolen. 2015. Learning theories of crime: Promises and potential. I Beaver, K. M., J. C. Barnes & B. B. Boutwell, red. *The nurture versus biosocial debate in criminology*. London: SAGE
- Burt, C. H. 2015. Self-control and crime: A sociological perspective. I Beaver, K. M., J. C. Barnes & B. B. Boutwell, red. *The nurture versus biosocial debate in criminology*. London: SAGE
- DeKeseredy, W. 2016. Book symposium: *Conservative Criminology*, by John Paul Wright & Matt Delisi. *British Journal of Criminology*
- DeKeseredy, W. & M. Schwartz. 2016. Review 1: Days of whine and poses. *British Journal of Criminology*
- Delisi, M. 2015. Low self-control is a brain-based disorder. I Beaver, K. M., J. C. Barnes & B. B. Boutwell, red. *The nurture versus biosocial debate in criminology*. London: SAGE
- DeLisi, M. & J. P. Wright. 2016. Conservatives value public safety, order, and victims, Liberals have undying solicitude for criminal offenders: A reply to our critics. *British Journal of Criminology*
- Donnermeyer, J. F. 2016. Review 2: Chasing windmills. *British Journal of Criminology*

- Dooley, B. 2018. Whither criminology? The search for a paradigm over the last half century. *The American Sociologist*, 49: 258-279
- Garland, D. 1992. Criminological knowledge and its relation to power. *British Journal of Criminology*, 32: 403-422
- Ferrell, J. 2019. In defense of resistance. *Critical Criminology*.
- Jacques, S. 2014. The quantitative-qualitative divide in criminology: A theory of ideas' importance, attractiveness, and publication. *Theoretical Criminology*, 18: 317-334
- Liebling, A. 2011. Being a criminologist: Investigation as a lifestyle and living. I Bosworth, M. & C. Hoyle, red. *What is criminology?* Oxford: Oxford University Press
- Mayhew, P. 2016. In defense of administrative criminology. *Crime Science*, 5: 1-10
- McAra, L. 2017. Can criminologists change the world? Critical reflections on the politics, performance and effects of criminal justice. *British Journal of Criminology*, 57: 767-788
- Naegler, L. 2021. Resistance and the radical imagination: A reflection on the role of the critical criminologist in social movements. *Critical Criminology*.
- Posick, C. & M. Rocque. 2018. *Great debates in criminology*. London: Routledge, Introduktionen, kapitel 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8
- Schroeder, R. 2015. Social bonding and crime. I Beaver, K. M., J. C. Barnes & B. B. Boutwell, red. *The nurture versus biosocial debate in criminology*. London: SAGE
- Stogner, J. M. 2015. General strain theory and biosocial criminology: Pathways to successful theoretical integration. I Beaver, K. M., J. C. Barnes & B. B. Boutwell, red. *The nurture versus biosocial debate in criminology*. London: SAGE
- Tham, H. 1983. Kriminologin som inomverksforskning – exemplet BRÅ. *Nordisk tidskrift för kriminalvetenskap*, 70: 216-224
- Turner, E. 2013. Beyond 'facts' and 'values': Rethinking some recent debates on about the public role of criminology. *British Journal of Criminology*, 53: 149-166
- Uggen, C. & M. Inderbitzin. 2010. Public criminologies. *Criminology & Public Policy*, 9: 725-749
- Vaske, J. 2015. The integration of biological and genetic factors into social learning theory. I Beaver, K. M., J. C. Barnes & B. B. Boutwell, red. *The nurture versus biosocial debate in criminology*. London: SAGE
- Weisburd, D. & A. Piquero. 2008. How well do criminologists explain crime? Statistical modeling in published studies. *Crime and Justice*, 37: 453-502
- Winlow, S. 2016. Review 3. Neo-liberal criminologists demand freedom from oppression. *British Journal of Criminology*
- Woodward et al. 2016. The current state of criminological research in the United States: An examination of methodologies in criminology and criminal justice journals. *Journal of Criminal Justice Education*, 27: 340-361

1. Läsanvisningar

De specifika läsanvisningar som är angivna nedan är aningen tentativa och har lite svårt att fånga en del av kursens kumulativa struktur; tanken är att kapitel och artiklar som behandlats under t ex vecka 1 kan återkomma senare på kursen men i delvis ny belysning. I de seminarieuppgifter studenterna genomför är de fria att göra kopplingar bakåt, till litteratur som redan behandlats en eller flera gånger, i den mån de finner det relevant.

I. Introduktion: Kriminologin som konfliktfält & kriminologistudenten som navigatör

Betta, M. & R. Swedberg. 2018. Heuristics and theorizing as work on the self. *Sociologica*, 12: 21-25

Liebling, A. 2011. Being a criminologist: Investigation as a lifestyle and living. I Bosworth, M. & C. Hoyle, red. *What is criminology?* Oxford: Oxford University Press

Posick & Rocque, 2018. "Introduction: What is theory and why are there debates?" och "Chapter 1: Debating among criminology's founders".

II. Biosociala och sociologiska förklaringar till brott

Agnew, R. 2015. The role of the social environment in general strain theory. I Beaver, K. M., J. C. Barnes & B. B. Boutwell, red. *The nurture versus biosocial debate in criminology*. London: SAGE

Boisvert, D. 2015. A biosocial view of social bond theory. I Beaver, K. M., J. C. Barnes & B. B. Boutwell, red. *The nurture versus biosocial debate in criminology*. London: SAGE

Brauer, J. R. & J. D. Bolen. 2015. Learning theories of crime: Promises and potential. I Beaver, K. M., J. C. Barnes & B. B. Boutwell, red. *The nurture versus biosocial debate in criminology*. London: SAGE

Burt, C. H. 2015. Self-control and crime: A sociological perspective. I Beaver, K. M., J. C. Barnes & B. B. Boutwell, red. *The nurture versus biosocial debate in criminology*. London: SAGE

Delisi, M. 2015. Low self-control is a brain-based disorder. I Beaver, K. M., J. C. Barnes & B. B. Boutwell, red. *The nurture versus biosocial debate in criminology*. London: SAGE

Posick & Rocque, 2018. "Chapter 2: Does crime originate from the person or the environment? Sociological vs. psychological perspectives" och "Chapter 3: Is crime natural or do we learn it?"

Schroeder, R. 2015. Social bonding and crime. I Beaver, K. M., J. C. Barnes & B. B. Boutwell, red. *The nurture versus biosocial debate in criminology*. London: SAGE

Stogner, J. M. 2015. General strain theory and biosocial criminology: Pathways to successful theoretical integration. I Beaver, K. M., J. C. Barnes & B. B. Boutwell, red. *The nurture versus biosocial debate in criminology*. London: SAGE

Vaske, J. 2015. The integration of biological and genetic factors into social learning theory. I Beaver, K. M., J. C. Barnes & B. B. Boutwell, red. *The nurture versus biosocial debate in criminology*. London: SAGE

III. "The Great Debate" & Beyond: Kriminologins metoder och teoriarbete

- Barnes et al. 2019. How powerful is evidence in criminology? On whether we should fear a coming crisis of confidence. *Justice Quarterly*, 37: 383-409
- Bernard, T. J. 1990. Twenty years of testing theories: What have we learned, and why? *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency*, 27: 325-347
- Dooley, B. 2018. Whither criminology? The search for a paradigm over the last half century. *The American Sociologist*, 49: 258-279
- Jacques, S. 2014. The quantitative-qualitative divide in criminology: A theory of ideas' importance, attractiveness, and publication. *Theoretical Criminology*, 18: 317-334
- Posick & Rocque, 2018. "Chapter 4: Do we need to follow people over time? Criminal careers vs. criminal propensity theories", och "Chapter 5: Who is right? Theory testing and construction in criminology."
- Weisburd, D. & A. Piquero. 2008. How well do criminologists explain crime? Statistical modeling in published studies. *Crime and Justice*, 37: 453-502
- Woodward et al. 2016. The current state of criminological research in the United States: An examination of methodologies in criminology and criminal justice journals. *Journal of Criminal Justice Education*, 27: 340-361

IV: Kan kriminologin vara värdeneutral? Bör den vara det?

- Akozino, B. 2004. Imperialism, crime, and criminology: Towards the decolonisation of criminology. *Crime, Law & Social Change*, 41: 343-358
- Becker, H. S. 1967. Whose side are we on? *Social Problems*, 14: 239-247
- DeKeseredy, W. 2016. Book symposium: *Conservative Criminology*, by John Paul Wright & Matt DeLisi. *British Journal of Criminology*
- DeKeseredy, W. & M. Schwartz. 2016. Review 1: Days of whine and poses. *British Journal of Criminology*
- DeLisi, M. & J. P. Wright. 2016. Conservatives value public safety, order, and victims, Liberals have undying solicitude for criminal offenders: A reply to our critics. *British Journal of Criminology*
- Donnermeyer, J. F. 2016. Review 2: Chasing windmills. *British Journal of Criminology*
- Garland, D. 1992. Criminological knowledge and its relation to power. *British Journal of Criminology*, 32: 403-422
- Mayhew, P. 2016. In defence of administrative criminology. *Crime Science*, 5: 1-10
- Posick & Rocque, 2018. "Chapter 6: Beyond the 'seminal trio': Critical vs. traditional and conservative criminology."
- Ferrell, J. 2019. In defense of resistance. *Critical Criminology*.
- Naegler, L. 2021. Resistance and the radical imagination: A reflection on the role of the critical criminologist in social movements. *Critical Criminology*.
- Winlow, S. 2016. Review 3. Neo-liberal criminologists demand freedom from oppression. *British Journal of Criminology*

V. Kriminologins, och kriminologens, roll i samhället

- McAra, L. 2017. Can criminologists change the world? Critical reflections on the politics, performance and effects of criminal justice. *British Journal of Criminology*, 57: 767-788
- Posick & Rocque, 2018. "Chapter 8: What should we do about crime? Debates around policy issues in criminal justice."
- Tham, H. 1983. Kriminologin som inomverksforskning – exemplet BRÅ. *Nordisk tidskrift för kriminalvetenskap*, 70: 216-224
- Turner, E. 2013. Beyond 'facts' and 'values': Rethinking some recent debates on about the public role of criminology. *British Journal of Criminology*, 53: 149-166
- Uggen, C. & M. Inderbitzin. 2010. Public criminologies. *Criminology & Public Policy*, 9: 725-749